

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/799,804	GUADAGNA, ROBERT	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Timothy D. Collins	3643	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** after non-final rejection

(1) Timothy D. Collins.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Peter G. Dilworth.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 June 2006

**Time:** 11AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejection of claims 6-9,11 and 14-16*

Claims discussed:

*14, 23 and 24*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lang, Coronado and West*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was decided that an examiner's amendment will be made to include the limitations of detachable wings and a hollow frame coupled to the head and tail portion of the device. This will make the claim 14 a combination of claims 23 and 24.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)